

Appl. No. 10/672,084
Amdt. Dated Dec. 9, 2005
Reply to Office Action of Sep. 9, 2005

REMARKS

Applicants appreciate allowance of claims 18-20.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102

Claims 1-5, 7-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takada et al. (6,341,966).

Applicants have amended independent Claim 1 to incorporate the limitations originally defined in claims 3, 6.

Amended claim 1 is considered to be patentable over the cited prior art.

Claims 2, 4, 5, 7-9 are also patentable for they depend from allowable claim 1 directly or indirectly.


As for amended claims 10, it is incorporates therein the limitations originally defined in claims 15-17. Therefore, claim 10 is patentable and should be allowed.

Claims 11-14 are also patentable for they depend from allowable claim 10 directly or indirectly.

In view of the above claim amendments and remarks, the subject application is believed to be in a condition for allowance and an action to such effect is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,
Korsunsky et al.

By



Wei-Te Chung

Appl. No. 10/672,084
Amdt. Dated Dec. 9, 2005
Reply to Office Action of Sep. 9, 2005

Registration No.: 43,325
Foxconn International, Inc.
P. O. Address: 1650 Memorex Drive,
Santa Clara, CA 95050
Tel No.: (408) 919-6137